					·						
EPA			United States Environmental Protection Agency					Work Assignment Number			
					gton, DC 20460			3~06 Other Amendment Number:			
			Wor	rk As	ssignment						
			Tion Acong infonc								
Contract Number Contract Period 02/01/2011 To 01/31/2019								Title of Work Assign	ment/SE Site Nar		
EP-W-11-02	20		Base		Option Period Nu			EDSP Study & Review			
Contractor							aragraph of Co	h of Contract SOW			
CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION Task A											
Purpose: X Work Assignment Work Assignment Close-Out						Close-Qut		Period of Performance			
	Work Assig	gnment Amend	ment		Incremental Fundin	g					
	Work Plan	Approval			•			From 02/01/2014 To 01/31/2015			
Comments:		•									
In accordance with contract clause B.2 "Work Assignments", please submit a detailed work plan and cost estimate to											
the Contracting Officer (CO)and Contracting Specialist (CS) within fourteen (I4) calendar days after receipt of this work assignment initiation. The work plan shall include a detailed technical and staffing plan with incorporated QA											
elements.	elements.										
Super	rfund			Acco	unting and Appro	priations Data	9		Х	Non-Superfund	
SFO	Note: To report additional accounting and appropriations date use EPA Form 1900-69A										
(Max 2)											
o DCN	Budget/FY	Appropriat	ion Budget Org.	Code	Program Element	Object Class	Amount (E	tolinro) (Canta)	Site/Project	C	
(Max 6)	(Max 4)	Code (Max			(Max 9)	(Max 4)	Amount (L	oliars) (Cents)	(Max 8)	Cost Org/Code (Max 7)	
1	1			Ī		•				1	
2											
3								•			
4					-						
5	· · · ·										
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	Auth	orized Work Assi	gnment Ceilir	ı <u> </u>				
Contract Period:			/Fee:				LOE:				
02/01/2011	l ™ 01/31	/2015									
This Action:										-	
										_	
Total:								_			
		•		Wor	k Plan / Cost Esti	mate Approva	als				
Contractor WP Date	ed.		Cost/F	ee:			LOE	LOE:			
Cumulative Approve	ed:		Cost/F	00 ;			LOE	-		81 (1801) 180-41	
Work Assignment N	Manager Name	Tanisha	Brockett				Bra	Branch/Mail Code:			
							Pho	Phone Number 703-305-6937			
	(Signa	ture)			(Date,)	FA)	FAX Number:			
Project Officer Nam	ne Tanisha	Brocke	tt		•		Bra	Branch/Mail Code:			
							Pho	Phone Number: 703-305-6937			
(Signature) (Dale)							— FAX	FAX Number:			
Other Agency Office	ial Name						Bra	Branch/Mail Code:			
							Pho	Phone Number:			
(Signature) (Date)							FAX Number:				
Contracting Official Name / Christine Edwards								Branch/Mail Code:			
1/2 / Just 2/25/244								Phone Number: 202-564-2182			
(Signature) (Date)								FAX Number:			

Contract #EP-W-11-020

Work Assignment # 3-06

Statement of Work

I. <u>Title</u>: EDSP Study Review and Support Activities

IIa. Project Officer: Tanisha Brockett (7507P)

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

IIb. Alternate Project Officer:

Brian Montague (7507P)

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

III. Work Assignment Manager:

Tanisha Brockett (7507P)

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20460

IV. Period of Performance: February 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015.

V. Level of Effort: 1,250 hrs

VI. Background:

As required by the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), EPA reviews numerous studies to assess potential adverse outcomes from exposure to chemicals. Collectively, these studies include acute, subchronic and chronic toxicity, including assessments of carcinogenicity, neurotoxicity, developmental, reproductive, and general or systemic toxicity. These studies include endpoints which may be susceptible to endocrine influence, including effects on endocrine target organ histopathology, organ weights, estrus cyclicity, sexual maturation, fertility, pregnancy rates, reproductive loss, and sex ratios in offspring. For ecological hazard assessments, EPA evaluates acute tests and chronic studies that assess growth, developmental and reproductive effects in different taxonomic groups.

EPA has developed the Endocrine Disuptor Screening Program (EDSP) to determine whether certain substances (including pesticide active and other ingredients) may have an effect

in humans or wildlife similar to an effect produced by a "naturally occurring estrogen, or other such endocrine effects as the Administrator may designate." The EDSP employs a two-tiered approach to making the statutorily required determinations. Tier 1 consists of a battery of 11 screening assays to identify the potential of a chemical substance to interact with the estrogen, androgen, or thyroid (E, A, or T) hormonal systems. Chemicals that go through Tier 1 screening and are found to have the potential to interact with E, A, or T hormonal systems will proceed to the next stage of the EDSP where EPA will determine which, if any, of the Tier 2 tests are necessary based on the available data. Tier 2 testing is designed to identify any adverse endocrine-related effects caused by the substance, and establish a dose-response relationship between the dose and the E, A, or T effect. In some cases, other guideline studies, non-guidelines studies, or Other Scientifically Relevant Information (OSRI) may be submitted to provide information of possible interest.

VII. Scope of Work:

Under Task A of the Contract's Statement of Work, the Contractor shall provide support primarily in the area of review and evaluation of available data pertaining to the effects, chemistry, and fate of pesticides or other chemicals (including the evaluation of environmental monitoring data), assessing environmental risk from pesticides, and the assessment of pesticide effects, fate, and transport in the environment. This Work Assignment will focus exclusively on studies submitted as part of the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP), and may include the use of special software provided by EPA designed to produce Data Evaluation Records (DERs). Contractor support may be requested for additional activities related to such special software and/or databases to be used under the EDSP; these activities may include but are not limited to beta testing of applications, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC), data entry, and preparation of reference materials, as needed.

The Contractor shall, upon request by EPA, collect data from the open literature or from other sources designated by EPA and shall review these data as well as all other effects, fate, and transport studies provided to the Contractor by EPA. The reviews shall: (1) evaluate individual studies of chemicals subject to the EDSP and will identify any variance from published guidelines/standard evaluation procedures (SEPs)/data review guidelines, etc., (2) and evaluate data from the open literature or other sources when specifically requested by EPA, and (3) review and synthesize information from multiple data sources as designated by EPA. EDSP policies and procedures, including relevant test guidelines, SEPs, and DER templates (also called "Study Profiles"), are publicly available online at http://www.epa.gov/endo.

The Work Assignment Manager (WAM) or Project Officer (PO) will make available to the Contractor the data, studies (for preparation of a DER), and information which is to be reviewed, with the occasional exceptional circumstance where the Project Officer requests that the Contractor collect and aggregate extant data or studies from open literature or other sources. The studies and data will be provided in printed form (originals or reprints of each study) and/or electronic form. Due dates for each data package and/or assessment and/or project shall be negotiated between the Project Officer (Agency) and the Project Manager (the Contractor).

The Contractor will fully substantiate and document all work efforts in this regard so that EPA may critically analyze and approve/disapprove any recommendation, options, alternatives or courses of action flowing from the Contractor's work effort.

Communications shall take place as necessary to resolve technical, format and entry questions. Communication may be via phone calls, FAX, E-mail, and/or other types of progress reports. Face-to-face meetings or conference calls will be held as deemed necessary.

VIII. Deliverables:

As mentioned in the Scope of Work, the due date will be negotiated between the Project Officer (EPA/EFED) and the Project Manager (Contractor). A standard review of a study generally takes eight weeks after receipt to complete. However, on occasion, the Contractor will be required to perform an enhanced review. This enhanced review will require that the due date and/or schedules be changed or accelerated. Enhanced review of studies and data is required under Section 33 of FIFRA (as added by subsection (f)(2) of the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003).

All DERs shall be delivered by courier or Federal Express. Deliverables shall be a hardcopy and on a CD. A hardcopy of the associated Green Sheet shall also accompany the DER. Each CD shall include the DER, any input or output files used for statistical analysis, detailed calculations (such as a spreadsheet) that support the analysis in the DER, any input and output files for software (DER Composers) that may be used to create the DER (including XML files, if applicable), and any requested electronic data entry submissions. When necessary, deliverables will be accepted via email and/or FTP.

Quality Criteria:

The contractor shall submit all deliverables in Microsoft Word® (*.docx) and Excel (*.xlsx or *.xlsxm), unless otherwise specified. Input files may be provided in the file format (e.g., ASCII, CSV, XML, etc.) used with the designated software. All tables, graphs, diagrams, etc. shall be developed using programs that allow for them to be easily imported into, and edited within, Microsoft Word® and Excel. All deliverables shall be clearly written, concise, and free of spelling and grammatical errors. (Note: Although EFED understands that there are nuances in spelling and grammar that may prevent documents from being 100% error free, there must be evidence that, at a minimum, a spell and grammar check was run, and that the Contractor made an honest effort to produce error-free deliverables for EFED.)

Unless otherwise stated in a technical direction SOW, EFED's minimum data quality criteria are 1) > 95% accuracy in all data summarization table entry, where all values and their accompanying units entered by the contractor into the summarization tables match exactly with those in the source data (e.g. DERs) 2) >95% accuracy between text and data tables, so that any values and their units referenced in the text are identical to those that appear in the data summarization tables, and 3) all relevant data and interpretation thereof correspond to the format and language style of any example(s) provided, to the extent instructed by EFED. Any electronic data spreadsheets provided to the contractor as supplements to a study report should be cross-referenced, by the contractor, with the certified data in the study report to ensure accuracy.

The contractor shall apply approved templates, formats, methodologies and will consult the Agency as appropriate when assignments or situations occur which are new, unique and without precedent.

IX. Reporting Requirements:

A Work Plan shall be submitted within 15 days of receipt of the approved Work Assignment as required in the contract. A final Work Plan shall be submitted within 5 days of receiving comments on a proposed Work Plan. A Work Plan is a formal document describing in comprehensive detail the necessary technical activities, staffing requirements, and QA/QC activities that shall be implemented to ensure that the results of the work performed will satisfy the needs and quality criteria identified in the Work Assignment and this Technical Direction. The staffing plan shall be written in accordance with all applicable elements (i.e. A1-A9, B9, B10, C1-C2, and D1-D3) of the EPA/QA R-5 document, EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans, in consultation with the EPA/QA G-5 guidance document (USEPA, 2001; 2002). Within the staffing plan, the contractor shall clearly identify any points of clarification or additional information needed, which were not already addressed in the SOW. This work plan shall also clearly indicate the contractor's proposed staffing levels and cost estimates for the work to be performed under this technical direction. The contractor shall indicate any proposed modifications to the time frames specified by EFED, with reasons for the proposed changes.

Written monthly progress reports shall include a detailed breakdown of costs and hours by task, and a description of tasks which were initiated or completed, and any problems which arose, as required in the contract.

X. Schedule of Deliverables:

Work Plan	14 days after receipt of WA
Revised Work Plan	5 days after receipt of comments
Review of DERs	See Section VIII above

XI. Travel:

No travel is anticipated

XII. Management Controls:

All duplication shall be in accordance with clause H.2 (Printing) of the contract. All technical direction shall be issued by the WAM in accordance with clause H.21 of the contract.

				-							
EPA		Unit	ed States Environn Washin	nental Protection agton, DC 20460		Work Assignment Number 3-06					
			Work A	ssignment		Other Amendment Number:					
Contract Number			Contract Period 02.	/01/2011 To	01/31/	2015	Title of Work Assignment/SF Site Name				
EP-W-11-020 Ba			Base	Option Period Nu	mber 3		EDSP Study & Review				
Contractor		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Specif	y Section and pa	ragraph of Co	ntract SOW				
CDM FEDERAL	L PROGRA	MS CORPOR	ATION	Tas	k A						
Purpase;	X Work Assig	gnment		Work Assignment (Close-Out		Period of Performance				
	Work Assignment Amendment Incremental Funding										
	X Work Plan	Approval					From 02/01/2014 To 01/31/2015				
Comments: The purpose o	f this act	ion is to	approve the cont	actor work pla	and cost	r estimato	e dated February	27. 2014.			
			-pp-ort inc non		un una coo	c obeimae.	c dured rebruing				
			A 20	overtice and Aport	nriotiona Dota						
Superfu	nd		ACC	ounting and Appro	pnations Date	1		<u> </u>	Non-Superfund		
SFO (Max 2) Note: To report additional accounting and appropriations date use EPA Form 1900-59A.											
DCN (Max 6)	Budget/FY (Max 4)	Appropriation Code (Max 6)		Program Element (Max 9)	Object Class (Max 4)	Amount (D	ollars) (Cents)	Site/Project (Max 8)	Cost Org/Code (Max 7)		
1									Γ		
2											
3							+ -				
4	_							<u></u>			
											
5				hadand Mark Agai	onmost Cailir	<u> </u>					
Contract Period:		ConVE		horized Work Assi	griment Celli	<u> </u>	0				
02/01/2011	To 01/31	Cost/Fe . /2015	e: \$0.00			LUE	LOE: 0				
This Action:	- 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12						1,250				
						1 350					
Total:			\$106,041.4				1,250				
October MD Date				rk Plan / Cost Esti	mate Approva						
Contractor WP Dated	02,2.	/2014		106,041.40			LOE: 1,250				
Cumulative Approved: Cost/Fee: \$106,041.40						LOE	LOE: 1,250				
Work Assignment Ma	nager Na me	Tanisha E	Brockett			Brai	Branch/Mail Code:				
						Pho	Phone Number 703-305-6937				
(Signature) (Date)						FAX	FAX Number:				
Project Officer Name Tanisha Brockett						Brai	Branch/Mail Code:				
						Pho	Phone Number: 703-305-6937				
(Signature) (Date)						FAX	FAX Number:				
Other Agency Official Name							Branch/Mail Code:				
							Phone Number:				
(Signature) (Date)							FAX Number:				
Contracting Official Nagle /Christine Edwards							Branch/Mail Code:				
Cloth Chronis 3/6/2018							Phone Number: 202-564-2182				
(Signature) (Bate)							FAX Number:				